

LORENTZIAN HOMOGENEOUS SPACES ADMITTING A HOMOGENEOUS STRUCTURE OF TYPE $\mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_3$

PATRICK MEESEN

ABSTRACT. We show that a Lorentzian homogeneous space admitting a homogeneous structure of type $\mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_3$ is either a (locally) symmetric space or a singular homogeneous plane wave.

A theorem by Ambrose and Singer [1], generalized to arbitrary signature in [2], states that on a reductive homogeneous space, there exists a metric connection $\bar{\nabla} = \nabla - S$, with ∇ the Levi-Civita connection, that parallelizes the Riemann tensor R , and the $(1,2)$ -tensor S , *i.e.* $\bar{\nabla}g = \bar{\nabla}R = \bar{\nabla}S = 0$. Since a $(1,2)$ -tensor in $D \geq 3$ decomposes into 3 irreps of $\mathfrak{so}(D)$, one can classify the reductive homogeneous spaces by the occurrence of one of these irreps in the tensor S [3, 4]. This leads to 8 different classes, which range from the maximal, denoted by $\mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_2 \oplus \mathcal{T}_3$, to the minimal $\{0\}$. Clearly, homogeneous spaces of type $\{0\}$ are just symmetric spaces. Moreover, also the homogeneous spaces admitting a homogeneous structure of type $\mathcal{T}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$ or 3) have been characterized. For the case at hand it is worth knowing that the homogeneous spaces with a $\mathcal{T}_3$ structure, for which S corresponds to a 3-form, are naturally reductive spaces [3, 4] and that strictly Riemannian homogeneous $\mathcal{T}_1$ spaces are locally symmetric spaces [3]. Since a homogeneous structure of type $\mathcal{T}_1$ is defined by an invariant vector field ξ , one must distinguish between two cases in the Lorentzian setting: the non-degenerate case, for which ξ is a space- or time-like vector, and the degenerate case, when ξ is a null vector. In the former case, Gadea and Oubiña [4] proven that, analogously to the strictly Riemannian case, the space is locally symmetric. In the degenerate case, A. Montesinos Amilibia [5] showed that a homogeneous Lorentzian space admitting a degenerate $\mathcal{T}_1$ structure is a time-independent singular homogeneous plane wave [6]. A small calculation shows that a generic, *i.e.* time-dependent, singular homogeneous plane wave admits a degenerate $\mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_3$ structure, see *e.g.* Appendix A. (By a (non-)degenerate $\mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_3$ structure, we mean that the vector field ξ characterizing the $\mathcal{T}_1$ contribution has (non-)vanishing norm.) This then automatically leads to the question of whether the singular homogeneous plane waves exhaust the degenerate case in the $\mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_3$ class. As we will see, the answer is affirmative.

In the $\mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_3$ case the homogeneous structure is given by [3]

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y = -S_X Y = -T_X Y - g(X, Y)\xi + \alpha(Y)X,$$

where we have defined $\alpha(X) = g(\xi, X)$, and $T_X Y (= -T_Y X)$ is the $\mathcal{T}_3$ contribution. Since the metric and S are parallel under $\bar{\nabla}$, and ξ is the contraction of S , it follows that $\bar{\nabla}\xi = 0$ or, written differently:

$$\nabla_X \xi = T_X \xi + \alpha(X)\xi - \alpha(\xi)X.$$

This equation, together with the fact that T is a 3-form, implies that $\nabla_\xi \xi = 0$, *i.e.* ξ is a geodesic vector.

Date: February 1, 2008.
CERN-PH-TH/2005-006.

Given an isometry algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ (*i.e.* the Lie algebra of a Lie group acting transitively by isometries on a given homogeneous space), with a reductive split $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{h}$, where $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ is the isotropy subalgebra, it is possible, and usually done, to identify $\mathfrak{m}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{1, n+1}$; the action of $\mathfrak{h}$ on $\mathfrak{m}$ can then be given by the vector representation of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ [7]. This identification enables one to express the algebra in terms of S and the curvature $\overline{R}$ as, limiting ourselves to the $\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}$ commutator,

$$[X, Y] = S_X Y - S_Y X + \overline{R}(X, Y), \quad (1)$$

where S and $\overline{R}$ are evaluated at some point p . In the above formula, $\overline{R}$ signals the presence of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$. From now on, we only consider this Lie algebra and all the relevant tensor fields are evaluated at a specific point, even though this is not stated explicitly.

Up to this point not too much has been said about $\mathfrak{h}$, and in fact not too much can be said. It is known, however [7], that a tensor field parallelized by $\overline{\nabla}$, when evaluated at a point corresponds to an $\mathfrak{h}$ -invariant tensor. Since in this article we take ξ (an $\mathfrak{h}$ -invariant vector field as $\overline{\nabla}\xi = 0$) to be non-vanishing, this means that $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{so}(n+1)$ when ξ is light-like, $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{so}(1, n)$ when ξ is space-like, and $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{iso}(n)$ when ξ is null.

Let us briefly outline the manner in which we arrive at our results: given a reductive homogeneous space with reductive split $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{h}$, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{m} + [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{h}'$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. It is this ideal, which is the Lie algebra of a Lie group still acting transitively, that we will consider; we will say that an element of $\mathfrak{h}$ appears in the algebra if it is an element of $\mathfrak{h}'$. Given the homogeneous structure, we can then, following Eq. (1), write down the maximal form of the algebra compatible with the homogeneous structure. Since we are dealing with a Lie algebra, we can then use the Jacobi identities to constrain the structure constants; after a redefinition of some generators in $\mathfrak{m}$, corresponding to the choice of a different reductive split, this leads to a recognizable result. Since the non-degenerate case is far less involved than the degenerate case, and gives a better idea of the manipulations used, it will be discussed before the degenerate case.

1. THE NON-DEGENERATE CASE

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be spanned by the generators V and Z_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$), which in this case we can take to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V, V \rangle &= \aleph & , & & \alpha(V) &= \lambda = \aleph |\lambda|, \\ \langle Z_i, Z_j \rangle &= \eta_{ij} & , & & \alpha(Z_i) &= 0, \\ \langle V, Z_i \rangle &= 0 & , & & & \end{aligned}$$

where $\aleph = \pm 1$ distinguishes between the time-like (for $\aleph = -1$) and the space-like (for $\aleph = 1$) cases and $\eta = \text{diag}(-\aleph, 1, \dots, 1)$. As is mentioned in the introduction, $\mathfrak{h}$ is contained in either $\mathfrak{so}(n+1)$ (for $\aleph = -1$) or $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)$ (for $\aleph = 1$) and the relevant non-vanishing commutation relations are

$$\begin{aligned} [M_{ij}, M_{kl}] &= \eta_{jk} M_{il} - \eta_{ik} M_{jl} + \eta_{jl} M_{ki} - \eta_{il} M_{kj}, \\ [M_{ij}, Z_k] &= \eta_{jk} Z_i - \eta_{ik} Z_j. \end{aligned}$$

Once again, let us stress that not every M needs appear, but the elements of $\mathfrak{h}'$ can be written as combinations of the M 's, and their commutation relations are induced by the ones above.

With respect to the chosen basis we can decompose $2T_V Z_i = F_i^j Z_j$ and $2T_{Z_i} Z_j = \aleph F_{ij} V + C_{ij}^k Z_k$, which allows us to write

$$[V, Z_i] = \lambda Z_i + F_i^j Z_j + \overline{R}(V, Z_i),$$

$$[Z_i, Z_j] = \aleph F_{ij} V + C_{ij}^k Z_k + \overline{R}(Z_i, Z_j).$$

Let us then, following the strategy outlined above, check the Jacobi identities. The first one is the (V, Z_i, Z_j) identity, which leads to $F = 0$ and

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} C_{ijk} = R_{jik} - R_{ijk}, \quad (2)$$

$$2\lambda S_{ij}^{mn} = C_{ij}^k R_k^{mn}, \quad (3)$$

where we expanded $\overline{R}(V, Z_i) = R_i^{mn} M_{mn}$ and $\overline{R}(Z_i, Z_j) = S_{ij}^{mn} M_{mn}$. Since $F = 0$ we can redefine

$$Y_i = Z_i + \lambda^{-1} R_i^{mn} M_{mn},$$

from which we trivially find

$$[V, Y_i] = \lambda Y_i,$$

which at once implies that $C = 0$, by Eq. (2), and also that $S = 0$ thanks to Eq. (3). So the, quite remarkable, result is that a Lorentzian homogeneous space admitting a non-degenerate homogeneous structure of type $\mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_3$, also admits a non-degenerate $\mathcal{T}_1$ structure. Combining this with the results of Gadea and Oubiña [4], we have proven the following result.

Proposition 1. *A connected homogeneous Lorentzian space admitting a non-degenerate $\mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_3$ structure is a locally symmetric space.*

2. THE DEGENERATE CASE

In the degenerate case we can choose the generators U, V and Z_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) spanning $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $\alpha(U) = \lambda \neq 0$, $\alpha(V) = \alpha(Z_i) = 0$. The invariant norm is then $\langle U, V \rangle = 1$ and $\langle Z_i, Z_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ and we decompose the $\mathcal{T}_3$ contribution to S as

$$2T(U, V, Z_i) = W_i, \quad 2T(U, Z_i, Z_j) = F_{ij},$$

$$2T(Z_i, Z_j, Z_k) = C_{ijk}, \quad 2T(V, Z_i, Z_j) = \aleph_{ij},$$

where F , $\aleph$ and C are totally antisymmetric. Given these abbreviations we can write the most general $\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}$ commutators as

$$[U, V] = \lambda V + W^i Z_i + \overline{R}(U, V),$$

$$[U, Z_i] = \lambda Z_i + F_i^j Z_j - W_i U + \overline{R}(U, Z_i),$$

$$[V, Z_i] = W^i V + \aleph_i^j Z_j + \overline{R}(V, Z_i),$$

$$[Z_i, Z_j] = \aleph_{ij} U + F_{ij} V + C_{ijk} Z^k + \overline{R}(Z_i, Z_j),$$

where the various $\overline{R}$ need to be expanded in terms of the generators of $\mathfrak{h}$. Since ξ is null, we see that $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{iso}(n)$, which we take to be spanned by $\overline{Z}_i$ and M_{ij} with

commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
[M_{ij}, M_{kl}] &= \delta_{jk} M_{il} - \delta_{ik} M_{jl} + \delta_{jl} M_{ki} - \delta_{il} M_{kj} , \\
[M_{ij}, \bar{Z}_k] &= \delta_{jk} \bar{Z}_i - \delta_{ik} \bar{Z}_j , \\
[M_{ij}, Z_k] &= \delta_{jk} Z_i - \delta_{ik} Z_j , \\
[U, \bar{Z}_i] &= Z_i , \\
[Z_i, \bar{Z}_j] &= -\delta_{ij} V ,
\end{aligned}$$

where it should be kept in mind that not all elements of $\mathfrak{iso}(n)$ need appear.

We can then once again start to recover the information contained in the Jacobi identities: the (U, V, Z) Jacobi identity reads

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= -2\lambda W_i V - \{\lambda \aleph_{ij} + F_i^k \aleph_{kj} + F_j^k \aleph_{ik} + W^k C_{kij}\} Z^k \\
&\quad - [\bar{R}(U, V), Z_i] - [\bar{R}(V, Z_i), U] \\
&\quad + \aleph_i^j \bar{R}(U, Z_i) - 2\lambda \bar{R}(V, Z_i) - F_i^j \bar{R}(V, Z_i) + W^j \bar{R}(Z_i, Z_j) . \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

Cancellation of the V contribution then means that $\bar{R}(U, V) = -2\lambda W^i \bar{Z}_i + Y^{ij} M_{ij}$, which at once means that W can only be non-zero for those directions for which a $\bar{Z}$ appears. Specifically, should none appear, then $W = 0$. Let us then split the index i into some indices a and I , such that the $\bar{Z}_a$ do appear whereas the $\bar{Z}_I$ do not.

Having made the split, we can investigate the implication of having the null-boosts in the algebra. Let us start by looking at the $(U, Z_i, \bar{Z}_a)$ Jacobi: a small calculation then shows that this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= -\aleph_{ia} U - \delta_{ia} W^i Z_i + W_i Z_a + C_{aik} Z^k \\
&\quad - [\bar{R}(U, Z_i), \bar{Z}_a] - \delta_{ia} \bar{R}(U, V) - \bar{R}(Z_i, Z_a) .
\end{aligned}$$

In order for the above to be true we must have that $\aleph_{ai} = C_{aij} = 0$ and that W can be non-zero only if no or only one $\bar{Z}$ appears in $\mathfrak{h}$. As was said above, the no-case already implies that $W = 0$, so we had better have a look at the case of one appearing null boost. For this we are helped by the $\mathfrak{h}$ -part of the above equation. Clearly in the case when we are dealing with only one $\bar{Z}$, this amounts to the statement that $[\bar{R}(U, Z_a), \bar{Z}_a] = -\bar{R}(U, V)$, which, since there is no rotation in $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ that can take Z_a to Z_a , means that $\bar{R}(U, V) = 0$, and hence that $W_a = 0$. This then means that in all cases we have $W = 0$.

Continuing with the analysis, one can see that the $(Z_i, Z_j, \bar{Z}_a)$ Jacobi leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\aleph_{ij} Z_a &= \delta_{ja} \aleph_i^k Z_k - \delta_{ia} \aleph_j^k Z_k , \\
[\bar{R}(Z_i, Z_j), \bar{Z}_a] &= \delta_{ja} \bar{R}(U, Z_i) - \delta_{ia} \bar{R}(U, Z_j) .
\end{aligned}$$

Then, using the fact that $\aleph_{ia} = 0$, one then sees that $\aleph_{IJ} = 0$ and that hence $\aleph_{ij} = 0$ when $\mathfrak{h}$ includes some null boost. In the case when there is no $\bar{Z}$, the relevant information can be obtained by picking out the V component in the (V, Z_i, Z_j) Jacobi: this implies that $\lambda \aleph_{ij} = F_i^k \aleph_{kj} + F_j^k \aleph_{ik}$, which after contraction leads to $\lambda \aleph_{ij} \aleph^{ij} = 0$ and thus implies that $\aleph = 0$.

The $\mathfrak{h}$ -part of Eq. (4) then implies that $2\lambda \bar{R}(V, Z_i) = -F_i^j \bar{R}(V, Z_j)$, so that $\bar{R}(V, Z_i) = 0$. In order to then identically satisfy Eq. (4) we must have $[\bar{R}(U, V), Z_i] = 0$, so that $\bar{R}(U, V) = 0$.

Summarizing the results obtained thus far, we find that the non-trivial $\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}$ -commutators, scaling U in such a way that $\lambda = 1$ and decomposing the various $\overline{R}$'s, are

$$\begin{aligned} [U, V] &= V, \\ [U, Z_i] &= (F + \delta)_{ij} Z_j + h_{ij} \overline{Z}_j + \frac{1}{2} R_{ijk} M_{jk}, \\ [Z_i, Z_j] &= F_{ij} V + C_{ijk} Z_k + S_{ijk} \overline{Z}_k + N_{ijkl} M_{kl}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us then continue our analysis of the Jacobi identities: the (U, Z_i, Z_j) Jacobi implies

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ij} &= A_{(ij)} - \frac{1}{2} F_{ij}, \\ C_{ijk} h_{kl} &= (F + \delta)_{ik} S_{kjl} + (F + \delta)_{jk} S_{ikl}, \\ \frac{1}{2} C_{ijk} R_{kmn} &= (F + \delta)_{ik} N_{kjm n} + (F + \delta)_{jk} N_{ikm n}, \\ S_{ijk} + R_{ijk} - R_{jik} &= \delta_F C_{ijk} + C_{ijk}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where we defined

$$\delta_F C_{ijk} = F_{il} C_{ljk} + F_{jl} C_{ilk} + F_{kl} C_{ijl}.$$

From Eq. (6) one sees that S must be totally antisymmetric. Denoting by $\mathfrak{S}_{(ijk)}$ the sum over the permutations (ijk) , (jki) and (kij) , the (Z_i, Z_j, Z_k) Jacobi results in

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathfrak{S}_{(ijk)} C_{jkl} S_{ilm}, \\ 0 &= \mathfrak{S}_{(ijk)} C_{jkl} N_{ilmn}, \\ 0 &= \mathfrak{S}_{(ijk)} [C_{jkl} C_{ilm} + 2N_{jkim}], \end{aligned}$$

and also, since S is totally antisymmetric,

$$3S = \delta_F C. \tag{7}$$

Of course, if a $\overline{Z}_a$ occurs in $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$, then the $(U, Z_i, \overline{Z}_a)$ Jacobi implies that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{iaj} &= 0, \\ S_{iaj} &= R_{iaj}, \\ N_{iakl} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Let us then, as before, split the indices i into (a, I) , where the $\overline{Z}_a$'s occur but the $\overline{Z}_I$'s do not. This means by assumption that $h_{iI} = 0$, which implies $2A_{aI} = F_{aI}$, $A_{IJ} = 0 = F_{IJ}$ and $S_{ijI} = 0$, which implies that only S_{abc} is non-zero. Furthermore, we then see that only C_{IJK} is non-vanishing. Together with Eq. (7), this then implies that $S = 0$, and we get the extra constraint

$$F_{aI} C_{IJK} = 0. \tag{10}$$

This last constraint also follows from the $(Z_i, Z_j, \overline{Z}_a)$ Jacobi, which also tells us that $N_{ijal} = 0$.

Eq. (8) then implies that only R_{IJK} and R_{aJK} are non-vanishing, and from Eq. (9) we find that only N_{IJmn} can be non-zero. We can calculate R_{aJK} from Eq. (6), which then gives $R_{aIJ} = F_{aK} C_{KIJ} = 0$ because of Eq. (10). The same equation then states $R_{IJK} - R_{JIK} = C_{IJK}$, which by means of Eq. (5) then also implies that only the N_{IJKL} can be non-vanishing.

Let us define the generator

$$Y_I = Z_I - F_{Ia} \overline{Z}_a,$$

from which we can then derive that the algebra takes on the form

$$\begin{aligned} [U, Z_a] &= (F + \delta)_{ab} Z_b + (A_{ab} - \tfrac{1}{2} F_{ab}) \bar{Z}_b, \\ [Z_a, Z_b] &= F_{ab} V, \\ [U, Y_I] &= Y_I + \tfrac{1}{2} R_{IJK} M_{JK}, \\ [Y_I, Y_J] &= C_{IJK} Y_K + N_{IJKL} M_{KL}, \end{aligned}$$

so that the a - and the I -sectors decouple from each other.

Restricting ourselves to the I -sector and further defining

$$W_I = Y_I + \tfrac{1}{2} R_{IJK} M_{JK},$$

we immediately find $[U, W_I] = W_I$; calculating the remaining commutator, we find

$$[W_I, W_J] = (C_{IJK} - R_{IJK} + R_{JIK}) Y_K + \dots,$$

where the $\dots$ stands for terms in M_{JK} . Using now Eq. (6), we see that this redefinition trivializes C , and by way of Eq. (5), also N .

At this point, the only difference between the algebra we deduced and the generic singular homogeneous plane wave algebra in Eq. (11) are the null boosts in the I -sector, that is a generator one would call $\bar{W}_I$. It is, however, always possible to extend our algebra to an algebra that does contain them; in fact this follows immediately from the consistency of the singular homogeneous plane wave algebra. Putting everything together, one sees that we obtain the isometry algebra of a generic singular homogeneous plane wave in Eq. (11) by, basically, choosing a different reductive split of the same algebra. Thus we have proven the next theorem.

Theorem 2. *The underlying geometry of a connected homogeneous Lorentzian space that admits a degenerate $\mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_3$ structure is that of a singular homogeneous plane wave.*

Note added: The author recently became aware of [8], where Proposition 1 is proven for the Riemannian case. The reasonings leading to Proposition 1, however, only depend on the non-degeneracy of the $\mathcal{T}_1$ contribution and not on the signature of the space. This means that Proposition 1 also holds in the pseudo-Riemannian case.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, R. Hernández and S. Philip for very useful discussions, and S. Vascotto for improving the readability of the text.

APPENDIX A. SINGULAR HOMOGENEOUS PLANE WAVES

A global coordinate system for the singular homogeneous plane waves is defined by the data¹

$$\begin{aligned} e^+ &= dz, \\ e^- &= ds + [\vec{x}^T e^{zF} H e^{-zF} \vec{x} + s] dz, \\ e^i &= dx^i, \end{aligned}$$

where the metric is defined by $\eta_{+-} = 1$ and $\eta_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$. This class of metrics admits a homogeneous structure given by the components

$$S_{++-} = -1, \quad S_{+ij} = F_{ij}, \quad S_{i+j} = -\delta_{ij} - F_{ij},$$

which corresponds to a degenerate $\mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_3$ structure.

¹This form of the metric is related to the one in [6, Eq. (2.51)] by the transformations $x^+ = e^{-z}$, $x^- = -e^z s$, $\vec{z} = \vec{x}$, $A_0 = 2H$ and $f = -F$.

The isometry algebra, apart from possible rotations that appear as automorphisms of the algebra, can be found to be [6]

$$\begin{aligned}
 [U, V] &= V, & [\overline{X}_i, \overline{X}_j] &= 0 \\
 [X_i, X_j] &= 2F_{ij} V, & [X_i, \overline{X}_j] &= -\delta_{ij} V \\
 [U, \overline{X}_i] &= X_i, & [U, X_i] &= [2H - F]_{ij} \overline{X}_j + [\delta + 2F]_{ij} X_j.
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Ambrose, I. M. Singer: “*On homogeneous Riemannian manifolds*”, Duke Math. J. **25** (1958), 647–669.
- [2] P. M. Gadea, J. A. Oubiña: “*Homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures and homogeneous almost para-Hermitian structures*”, Houston J. Math. **18** (1992), 449–465.
- [3] F. Tricerri, L. Vanhecke: “*Homogeneous structures on Riemannian manifolds*”, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **83** (1983), 1–125.
- [4] P. M. Gadea, J. A. Oubiña: “*Reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*”, Monatsh. Math. **124** (1997), 17–34.
- [5] A. Montesinos Amilibia: “*Degenerate homogeneous structures of type S_1 on pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*”, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **31** (2001), 561–579.
- [6] M. Blau, M. O’Loughlin: “*Homogeneous plane waves*”, Nuclear Phys. B **654** (2003), 135–176.
- [7] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, “*Foundations of differential geometry*”, Wiley (1963 and 1969).
- [8] A. M. Pastore, “*On the homogeneous Riemannian structure of type $\mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_3$* ”, Geom. Dedicata **30**(1989), 235–246.

(PM) PHYSICS DEPARTMENT, THEORY, CERN, GENEVA, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: **Patrick.Meessen@cern.ch**